



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/608,869	06/27/2003	Kirt Debique	302128.01/MFCP.149005	7494
45809	7590	01/11/2010	EXAMINER	
SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P. (MICROSOFT CORPORATION) INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 2555 GRAND BOULEVARD KANSAS CITY, MO 64108-2613			INGVOLDSTAD, BENNETT	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2427		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		01/11/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/608,869	DEBIQUE ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
Bennett Ingvoldstad	2427	

All Participants:

Status of Application: 61

(1) Examiner Bennett Ingvoldstad.

(3) ____.

(2) Attorney Andrew O'Brien.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 5 January 2010

Time: 12:00

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

n/a

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Upon reviewing the previous action, the examiner discovered that the rejections were not directed to the currently pending claims. The examiner notified the attorney of the error, and notified the attorney that a corrected action would be mailed.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Scott Beliveau/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2427

/Bennett Ingvoldstad/
Examiner, Art Unit 2427

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

